XI-HC-78

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

(114)

मामला क्रमांक W.P.-4002/06 **सन् 200**

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	Division Bench : **Hon'ble Shri S.R. Nayak, CJ** **& Hon'ble Shri D.R. Deshmukh, J.** **22-08-2006** : **W.P. Nos. 4001 of 2006, 4002 of 2006 and 4006 of 2006.** Shri Roop Naik, learned counsel for the petitioners. Shri Ayaz Naved, learned counsel for respondents No. 1 & 2. Shri Prateek Sharma and Shri Jitendra Pali, learned counsel for respondent No.3. Heard. Common order passed separately, signed and dated. Sd/- Chief Justice	Sd/- Dilip Raosaheb Deshmukh Judge

P.T.O.

115

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE CHHATTISGARH: BILASPUR

DIVISION BENCH

CORAM : HON'BLE SHRI S.R. NAYAK, CJ.
HON'BLE SHRI D.R. DESHMUKH, J.

WRIT PETITION NO. 4001 OF 2006

PETITIONER Nav Bharat Steels, A Proprietorship firm, engaged in manufacturing and sale of Hand pumps and spare parts, having works at Kondatarai, Raigarh, Represented through proprietor Smt. Kailash Gupta, W/o Shri H.S. Gupta, Aged about 65 years, R/o A-123, Inderpuri, New Delhi – 12.

Versus

RESPONDENTS

1. Chhattisgarh State Industrial Development Corporation Limited; A Government of Chhattisgarh Undertaking. A company registered and incorporated under Indian Companies Act, 1956, Represented through the Managing Director, Ground Floor, L.I.C. Commercial Complex, Pandri, Raipur.

2. Chief General Manager, Chhattisgarh State Industrial Development Corporation Limited, L.I.C. Commercial Complex, Ground Floor, Pandri, Raipur.

3. M/s. Arihant Industries, Represented through the Proprietor/ Partner, 30-C/30-D Sector-A, Sirgitti Industrial Area, Bilaspur.

WRIT PETITION NO. 4002 OF 2006.

PETITIONER Chhattisgarh Aqua (Private) Limited A company registered and incorporated under Indian Companies Act, 1956 having registered office at Shed No.5; AKVN Sheds, Siltara Industrial Area, Raipur, represented through Authorized Signatory – Akshai Chauhan, S/o Shri P.R. Chauhan, Aged about 28 years, I/17 Pt. Ravi

Shankar Shukla University Campus, Raipur.

Versus

RESPONDENTS

1. Chhattisgarh State Industrial Development Corporation Limited; A Government of Chhattisgarh Undertaking. A company registered and incorporated under Indian Companies Act, 1956, Represented through the Managing Director, Ground Floor, L.I.C. Commercial Complex, Pandri, Raipur.

2. Chief General Manager, Chhattisgarh State Industrial Development Corporation Limited, L.I.C. Commercial Complex, Ground Floor, Pandri, Raipur.

3. M/s. Arihant Industries, Represented through the Proprietor/ Partner, 30-C/30-D Sector-A, Sirgitti Industrial Area, Bilaspur.

WRIT PETITION NO. 4003 OF 2006.

PETITIONER

M/s. Chaudhari Electronic & Company. A Proprietorship firm, engaged in manufacturing and sale of Hand pumps and spare parts, having office at Shiv Talkies Square, Bilaspur, Represented through Power of Attorney Holder Shri Rahul Jain, S/o. Shri Subhas Chandra Jain, Aged about 26 years, R/o Shiv Talkies Square, Bilaspur (C.G.)

Versus

RESPONDENTS

1. Chhattisgarh State Industrial Development Corporation Limited; A Government of Chhattisgarh Undertaking. A company registered and incorporated under Indian Companies Act, 1956, Represented through the Managing Director, Ground Floor, L.I.C. Commercial Complex, Pandri, Raipur.

2. Chief General Manager, Chhattisgarh State Industrial

Development Corporation Limited, L.I.C. Commercial Complex, Ground Floor, Pandri, Raipur.

3. M/s. Arihant Industries, Represented through the Proprietor/ Partner, 30-C/30-D Sector-A, Sirgitti Industrial Area, Bilaspur.

Present : Shri Roop Naik, learned counsel for petitioners.
Shri Ayaz Naved, learned counsel for respondents No. 1 &2.
Shri Prateek Sharma and Shri Jitendra Pali, learned counsel for respondent No. 3.

ORAL ORDER
(Passed on 22nd August, 2006)

The following oral order of the Court was passed by

S.R. Nayak, CJ.

Shri Prateek Sharma, learned counsel appearing for the contesting private respondent would submit that these writ petitions have become infructuous in the sense that subsequent to filing of the writ petitions, on 27-07-2006 itself, the impugned contracts which were awarded to the contesting private respondent had been withdrawn. The learned counsel for the petitioners did not contest the correctness of the above submission of Shri Prateek Sharma.

(2) In that view of the matter, reviewing the correctness or legality of the impugned contracts would not arise. The writ petitions are, therefore, dismissed as infructuous. No costs.

Sd/-
Dilip Raosaheb Deshmukh
Judge

Sd/-
Chief Justice